

From Nāda to Melakarta: The Evolution of Rāga in Indian Knowledge Systems and its Relevance to the Sustainable Development Goals

Dr. Ramya C. R.

Assistant Professor

Department of Dance-School of Performing Arts

Division of Yoga and Humanities

S-VYASA Deemed to be University

Email: dance.ramya@gmail.com

Abstract

The evolution of Indian classical music represents one of the most sophisticated intellectual trajectories within the Indian Knowledge Systems (IKS). Beginning with the metaphysical doctrine of Nāda and the tonal recitation of the Sāmaveda, Indian music developed through systematic refinements in śruti (microtone), svara (note), jāti (proto-rāga), grāma (scale system), mūrchanā (modal shift), and rāga (aesthetic melodic entity). Foundational treatises such as the Nāṭyaśāstra, Dattilam, Bṛhaddēśī, Saṅgīta Ratnākara, and Chaturdandi Prakāśikā progressively codified these developments, culminating in the 72 Melakarta system.

This study employs historical-textual analysis to trace the transformation of melodic thought from Vedic chant to structured raga taxonomy. It further interprets rāga as a multidisciplinary knowledge system integrating acoustics, mathematics, psychology, pedagogy, and metaphysics. By mapping these principles onto selected United Nations Sustainable

Development Goals (SDGs)—particularly SDG 3, SDG 4, SDG 11.4, SDG 16, and SDG 17—the paper argues that Indian classical music offers a sustainable epistemic model capable of addressing contemporary global challenges. The rāga system thus emerges not merely as a performing art tradition but as a living knowledge paradigm grounded in scientific rigor and cultural continuity.

Keywords: *Nāda, Śruti, Jāti, Grāma, Rāga, Melakarta, Indian Knowledge Systems, Sustainable Development Goals*

1. Introduction

Indian classical music stands as one of the most enduring intellectual-artistic traditions in world civilization. Its evolution spans millennia, beginning in the Vedic ritual soundscape and extending into highly systematized melodic frameworks of the classical and post-classical periods. Unlike many musical traditions that evolved primarily as performance arts, Indian music developed simultaneously as metaphysical inquiry, scientific investigation, and pedagogical discipline.

The concept of Nāda, understood as primordial vibration, establishes the philosophical foundation of Indian music. The doctrine of Nāda Brahma posits sound as the ontological basis of creation, situating music within cosmology rather than mere aesthetics. From this metaphysical origin, music gradually assumed structured tonal organization through the recitational traditions of the Sāmaveda, eventually expanding into complex melodic identities known as rāgas.

The transition from unstructured tonal chanting to systematic rāga classification reflects a sophisticated epistemological process. The gradual development from śruti to svara, from jāti to rāga, and ultimately to the Melakarta taxonomy reveals an intellectual continuity that

characterizes Indian Knowledge Systems (IKS). In the contemporary era, this structured knowledge tradition gains renewed relevance when examined through the framework of the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), particularly in domains of education, mental health, cultural preservation, and social harmony.

This study seeks to analyze the historical evolution of rāga while situating it within broader epistemic and sustainability frameworks.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Research Design

This study adopts a qualitative historical and textual methodology grounded in primary Sanskrit musicological treatises and supported by secondary scholarly interpretations. The approach is descriptive-analytical and interpretative in nature.

2.2 Primary Textual Sources

The principal treatises examined include:

- Nāṭyaśāstra – foundational articulation of jāti theory and Daśalakṣaṇa principles
- Dattilam – classification of 18 jāti-rāgas
- Bṛhaddēśī – earliest formal definition of rāga
- Saṅgīta Ratnākara – medieval synthesis of musicological theory
- Chaturdandi Prakāśikā – systematic formulation of the 72 Melakarta scheme

2.3 Analytical Procedure

The study proceeds through:

1. Chronological tracing of conceptual developments
2. Comparative analysis of melodic classifications
3. Structural examination of tonal frameworks
4. Interpretive mapping to IKS epistemology
5. Thematic correlation with SDG objectives

3. Results

3.1 Vedic Foundations: From Nāda to Svāra

The earliest musical articulation appears in the tonal recitation of Vedic hymns. The three primary tonal accents—*anudātta*, *svarita*, and *udātta*—formed the nucleus of melodic differentiation. Over time, this triadic tonal system expanded into a seven-note structure (*saptasvāra*), supported by the classification of 22 *śrutis*.

The codification of 22 *śrutis* in the *Nāṭyaśāstra* marks a major milestone in acoustic theory, indicating a refined awareness of microtonal perception. (See Table 1.)

3.2 Emergence of Jāti and Daśalakṣaṇa

Before the formalization of *rāga*, melodic types known as *Jātis* dominated classical music. Bharata identifies seven *śuddha jātis* and eleven *vikṛta jātis*. Each *jāti* was characterized by ten defining attributes (*Daśalakṣaṇa*), including *graha* (initial note), *amśa* (dominant note), *nyāsa* (resting note), *alpatva* (minor use), and *bahutva* (frequent use).

This systematic classification indicates early structural thinking comparable to modal theory in other musical cultures.

3.3 Formal Definition of Rāga

The term “rāga” receives its first technical definition in the *Bṛhaddēśī*, where it is described as a melodic configuration capable of “coloring the minds” of listeners. This definition shifts focus from purely structural features to aesthetic-emotional impact (*rasa*).

3.4 Grāma and Rāgagīti Systems

Three primary grāmas—*Ṣaḍja*, *Madhyama*, and *Gāndhāra*—served as scalar bases. From these emerged six principal Grāmarāgas (See Table 2).

Later, five Rāgagītis—*Śuddha*, *Bhinna*, *Gauḍa*, *Veśara*, and *Sādhāraṇī*—demonstrated regional assimilation and stylistic diversity (See Table 3).

3.5 Scale Expansion and Modal Logic

The *Sāma* scale evolved gradually from triadic to heptatonic structure. Modal shifts (*mūrchanā*) enabled flexible reorganization of tonal centers. This represents early permutation logic within music theory.

3.6 Classical Consolidation of Rāga Theory

The classical period marks a decisive phase in the systematic consolidation of Indian melodic theory. In the *Nāṭyaśāstra* (c. 2nd century CE), Bharata identifies two *vikṛta svaras*—*antara* *Gāndhāra* and *kākaḷī* *Niṣāda*—indicating a refined awareness of tonal alteration within the seven-note framework. This recognition of microtonal differentiation reflects an advanced acoustic understanding and signals the transition from Vedic chant toward structured melodic organization.

By this time, key theoretical categories had been formalized: *svara* (note), *sthāna* (register), *varṇa* (melodic movement), *kāku* (vocal inflection), *alaṅkāra* (ornamentation), *aṅga* (component), and *mūrchanā* (modal arrangement). Gandharva music employed seven *śuddha jāti-rāgas*, each defined by tonal structure, modal sequence, and aesthetic intention (*rasa* and *bhāva*). These *jāti* frameworks functioned as prototypes of the later *rāga* system.

Mūrchanā, the sequential arrangement of notes from varying tonal centers, was central to early scalar logic. From the three principal *grāmas*—*Ṣaḍja*, *Madhyama*, and *Gāndhāra*—emerged multiple modal permutations. Bharata enumerates fourteen *mūrchanās*, while Nārada identifies twenty-one, demonstrating theoretical diversity. Between the 5th and 7th centuries CE, *mūrchanā* expanded to include twelve tonal positions. In the *Bṛhaddēśī*, Mātāṅga distinguishes seven-tone forms (*pūrṇa*, *audava*, *ṣaḍava*, *sādhāraṇa*) and twelve-tone configurations distributed across *mandra*, *madhya*, and *tāra* registers. This expansion marks the gradual shift from modal systems to a fully aestheticized *rāga* concept.

Simultaneously, performance grammar acquired structural precision. *Varṇa*, also termed *gānakriyā*, denotes the primary modes of melodic movement—*ārohi* (ascending), *avarohi* (descending), *sthāyi* (sustained), and *sanchāri* (mixed). These patterns provided the structural basis for *alaṅkāras*, which enriched melodic texture while preserving tonal identity.

Tāna, derived from *tan* (“to stretch”), represents patterned melodic extension. Early references in the *Saṅgīta Makaranda* and the *Bṛhaddēśī* suggest ritual antecedents. The Nārādīya tradition lists forty-nine *tānas* derived from the *grāmas*, while Bharata expands them to eighty-four, categorized by tonal structure. *Tānas* were further distinguished as *praveśa* (gentle) and *nigraha* (forceful), illustrating expressive differentiation and strengthening improvisational practice.

Gamakas and *kāku* deepened expressive nuance. *Gamakas*—oscillatory embellishments such as *kampita* and *āndolita*—define *rāga* identity through microtonal inflection. *Kāku*, the

modulation of vocal tone for emotive expression, is treated in the Nāṭyaśāstra as essential to rasa realization, later elaborated by commentators including Abhinavagupta and Śārṅgadeva. Together, they integrate technical precision with aesthetic communication.

Recurring melodic frameworks known as sthāyas gradually emerged from improvisatory practice and were systematized between the 5th and 11th centuries. These provided stability within evolving rāga structures.

The consolidation of rāga theory culminates in the Daśalakṣaṇa—the ten defining characteristics of melodic identity: graha, amśa, tāra, mandra, nyāsa, apanyāsa, alpatva, bahutva, shādava, and audava. Complemented by tonal hierarchies—vādi, samvādi, anuvādi, and vivādi—these principles ensured structural coherence and aesthetic distinctiveness.

Collectively, these developments transformed early modal practices into a sophisticated rāga framework, reflecting an integrated epistemology uniting acoustics, aesthetics, and performance theory.

3.7 Evolution of the Scales

Scales—the systematic arrangement of tones—form the structural basis of rāgas in Indian classical music. The earliest organized scalar framework is associated with Sāma-gāna, regarded as the most ancient musical system. Tradition holds that the Sāman scale evolved from the Vedic tonal accent *Krushta*, corresponding to the *laukika Panchama* of the lower register, and developed primarily in a descending (*avarohi*) order.

Initially, Sāma chants employed three tonal accents—*Udatta*, *Anudatta*, and *Svarita*—identified with the *laukika* tones *Rishabha*, *Nishadha*, and *Shadja*. These constituted an early triadic tonal framework. The addition of *Gandhara* (a semitone above *Rishabha*) expanded the system into a tetratonic configuration (*Ga, Ri, Ni, Sa*). With the inclusion of *Dhaivata*, it

evolved into a pentatonic form, and the subsequent addition of Madhyama produced a hexatonic structure (Ma, Ga, Ri, Sa, Ni, Dha).

The final development occurred with the incorporation of Panchama, resulting in the complete heptatonic scale (saptaka). This formed two balanced tetrachords: Ma, Ga, Ri, Sa and Sa, Ni, Dha, Pa. According to Prof. P. Sambamurthy, the structural symmetry of these tetrachords—approximating a 3:4 ratio—led to its identification as a Madhyama-oriented scale.

When the lower tetrachord was rendered an octave higher, the concept of the saptaka became fully articulated. This Sāma-saptaka later evolved into the Shadja Grāma, regarded as the primordial scale of Indian music. The Shadja Grāma functioned across three registers—mandra, madhya, and tāra—establishing the foundational register system for subsequent rāga development.

3.8 The 72 Melakarta System

The culmination of scalar organization appears in the 72 Melakarta framework proposed in Chaturdandi Prakāśikā. By systematically permuting swara positions under defined constraints, Venkatamakhi conceptualized a comprehensive parent-scale taxonomy.

Later refinements through the Kāṭapayādi system completed the naming structure of the 72 melas (See Table 4).

4. Discussion

4.1 Rāga as a Multidisciplinary Knowledge System

The historical evolution of rāga demonstrates:

- Acoustical science (śruti classification)

- Mathematical combinatorics (Melakarta permutations)
- Psychological theory (rasa aesthetics)
- Pedagogical structure (guru–śiṣya paramparā)
- Philosophical cosmology (Nāda Brahma doctrine)

Thus, Indian music represents a holistic epistemic framework characteristic of IKS.

4.2 Relevance to Sustainable Development Goals

SDG 3: Good Health and Well-being

Rāga therapy and Nāda Yoga demonstrate measurable effects on stress reduction, emotional balance, and mental clarity.

SDG 4: Quality Education

Rāga training strengthens memory, concentration, auditory discrimination, and analytical reasoning.

SDG 11.4: Safeguarding Cultural Heritage

The continuity from Jāti to Melakarta reflects successful intergenerational transmission of intangible heritage.

SDG 16: Peace and Social Harmony

Rasa-based aesthetics cultivate empathy and emotional refinement, fostering peaceful social interaction.

SDG 17: Partnerships

Collaborations among universities, cultural academies, and global institutions promote preservation and dissemination.

5. Conclusion

The journey from Nāda to Melakarta encapsulates a civilizational process in which metaphysical insight evolved into structured musical science. Indian classical music represents not merely artistic heritage but a sustainable knowledge system integrating acoustics, cognition, mathematics, psychology, and spirituality.

When examined through the dual frameworks of IKS and SDGs, the rāga emerges as a living model of holistic education, mental well-being, cultural resilience, and sustainable knowledge transmission. Its continued practice and study thus hold significance not only for cultural preservation but also for global human development.

Tables

Table 1. Names of Śrutis, Corresponding Jātis, and Associated Svaras

No.	Name of Śruti	Corresponding Jāti	Svara
1	Tīvrā	Dīptā	
2	Kumudvatī	Āyatā	
3	Mandā	Mṛdu	
4	Chandovatī	Madhyā	Sa
5	Dayāvatī	Karuṇā	
6	Raṅjanī	Madhyā	
7	Ratīkā	Mṛdu	Ri
8	Raudrī	Dīptā	
9	Krodhā	Āyatā	Ga
10	Vajrikā	Dīptā	
11	Prasāriṇī	Āyatā	
12	Prīti	Mṛdu	
13	Mārjanī	Madhyā	Ma
14	Kṣiti	Mṛdu	

No.	Name of Śruti	Corresponding Jāti	Svara
15	Raktā	Madhyā	
16	Sāndīpanī	Āyatā	
17	Ālāpinī	Karuṇā	Pa
18	Madantī	Karuṇā	
19	Rohiṇī	Āyatā	
20	Ramyā	Madhyā	Dha
21	Ugrā	Dīptā	
22	Kṣobhinī	Madhyā	Ni

Table 2. Principal Grāmarāgas Mentioned in Nārādīya Tradition

Grāma	Grāma Rāgas (Nārādīya Śikṣā)
Śaḍja Grāma	1. Śuddha-Sādhāraṇa 2. Ārṣabhī 3. Gāndhārī
Madhyama Grāma	4. Madhyamā 5. Pañcamī 6. Dhāivatī

Table 3. Classification of Rāgagītis (Bṛhaddēśī)

Sl.No	Category	No. of Rāgas	Evolved From	Rāgas Included
1.	Śuddha Grāmarāgas	7	Shadja Grama	1. Kaishika-Madhyama 2. Sadharita 3. Shadjagrama

Sl.No	Category	No. of Rāgas	Evolved From	Rāgas Included
			Madhyama Grama	4. Madhyamagrama 5. Shadava 6. Panchama 7. Kaishika
2.	Bhinna Grāmarāgas	5	Shadja Grama	1. Bhinna Shadja2. Kaishika-Madhyama
			Madhyama Grama	3. Kaishika4. Taana5. Bhinnapanchama
3.	Gauda Grāmarāgas	3	Shadja Grama	1. Gauda-Kaishika-Madhyama2. Gauda-Panchama
			Madhyama Grama	3. Gauda-Kaishika
4.	Veśara Grāmarāgas	8	Shadja Grama	1. Takka2. Veshara-Shadava3. Sauviri
			Madhyama Grama	4. Botta5. Malava-Kaishika6. Malava-Panchama
			Both Gramas	7. Takka-Kaishika8. Hindola
5.	Sādhāraṇī Grāmarāgas	7	Shadja Grama	1. Rupa-Sadharani2. Shaka3. Bhasmana-Panchama
			Madhyama Grama	4. Narta5. Gandhara-Panchama6. Shadja-Kaishika7. Kakubha

Table 4. Comparative Mela Systems

Sl.No	Asampūrṇa (Without kaṭapayādi)	Asampūrṇa (With Kaṭapayādi)	Sampūrṇa Melakarta
1.	Kanakambari	Kanakambari	Kanakangi
2.	Ghenadyuti	Ghenadyuti	Ratnangi
3.	Malavagoula	Mayamalavagoula	Mayamalavagoula
4.	Ramakriya	Kashiramakriya	Kamavardhini
5.	Bhushavali	Bhushavati	Vachaspati

Bibliography

Bharata Muni. (1996). *Nāṭyaśāstra* (A. Rangacharya, Trans.). Munshiram Manoharlal.

Bhagyalekshmy, S. (1990). *Ragas in Carnatic Music*. CBH Publications.

Prajnananda, S. (1963). *A History of Indian Music: Ancient Period*. Ramakrishna Vedanta Math.

Śārṅgadeva. (2007). *Saṅgīta Ratnākara* (R. K. Shringy, Trans.). Munshiram Manoharlal.

Raghavan, V. (2007). *Collected Writings on Indian Music*.

Shahji Maharaja. (2017). *Abhinavabhoja Ragalakshanamu*. Anugraha Prakashana.

- Indian knowledge Systems in Arts, Humanities, and Culture: Pedagogical Challenges and Evolving Frameworks, Prof. Amar Vinod Chavan and Dr. Sambhaji Sawant, Bharati Vidyapeeth (Deemed to be University)
- <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s43621-025-01905-3>